



As featured on

Richard A. Green
P.O. Box 11762
San Bernardino, CA 92423

January 15, 2000

Documented Reference Check
1174 S. Diamond Bar Blvd., #243
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Dear DRC:

You caught the Internal Revenue Service red handed. Great Job! I couldn't believe my eyes when I read your report. My former supervisor unwittingly boasted to your interviewer that she knew it was illegal to defame me. Yet, ignoring ethics, policy, and law, she continued adding false statements; character assassination; innuendos; despite contrary, exemplary, employment evaluations.

Even as a former employee of the IRS, I was stunned at their bold disregard for constitutional law and federal statutes that exist to protect whistleblowers. They were so brazen I can only conclude they were accustomed to providing slanderous employment "information" without fear of getting caught.

Your report turned the tables on a heartless bully. Your report proved too overpowering for a seemingly undefeatable giant. Needless to say, my supervisor is no longer with the IRS.

Before contacting DRC, I intended to hire a Private Investigator to check my references. However, their fees would have been about 5 times more than DRC's prices--and since you used a Certified Court Reporter, a Private Investigator's quality and legal value would have been considerably inferior.

I highly recommend that every person who leaves a job, for whatever reason, retain your services. It is the most cost-effective way to learn what former colleagues and superiors are telling potential employers.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard A. Green".

Richard A. Green, MBA, CPA
Author: *Agents of Deceit--The True Story of Life Inside the IRS*



Kohn, Kohn & Colapinto, P.C.
Attorneys at Law

517 FLORIDA AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-1850
(202) 234-4663 FAX (202) 462-4145

February 7, 1996

Documented Reference Check
1174 S. Diamond Bar Blvd., Suite 243
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Dear [Documented Reference Check]:

We would like to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for DRC's service which has proven to be invaluable for many of our clients. Recently, we were successful in two whistleblower cases decided by the U.S. Secretary of Labor in which it was held that the employers who provided negative references to Documented Reference Check ("DRC") were liable for violating the federal environmental whistleblower protection statutes.

We have represented many whistleblowers over the years and have observed that employees who "blow the whistle" are particularly vulnerable targets of blacklisting. Prior to learning about your service it was extremely frustrating, if not impossible, to prove blacklisting, bad-mouthing, or negative references by employers. With the services offered by DRC we are now able to advise clients how to protect their reputations from these insidious practices.

In addition to these two cases, we have recommended DRC's services to clients in a number of contexts, including other whistleblower cases, and are very pleased with the results. We would have no problem recommending DRC to the plaintiff's bar in employment cases given the high level of professionalism and credibility exhibited by DRC in our cases.

Once again, we thank DRC for its outstanding service.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David K. Colapinto".

David K. Colapinto

Lamp, O'Dell, Bartram & Entsminger

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

1108 Third Avenue, Suite 700, River Tower, Huntington, West Virginia 25701
Telephone (304) 523-5400, Telecopier (304) 523-5409

December 19, 1997

Documented Reference Check
1174 S. Diamond Bar Blvd, Suite 243
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Dear [Documented Reference Check]:

I am enclosing, for your review, a copy of the recent decision we received from West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, in the Jana Tudor case.

I thought this decision would be of particular interest to you, since there is a significant discussion concerning the DRC report. Of particular note is the ruling by the Supreme Court ruling that the DRC report constituted a "business record" which allowed it to be admissible without requiring live testimony from the DRC representative, who was involved in the underlying conversation. This ruling may prove useful to other lawyers who will seek to introduce such reports into evidence in other cases.

Again, my client and I appreciate the assistance which DRC has provided to her in this matter. If you have any questions, or would like to discuss these matters further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Kurt E. Entsminger

As featured in **The San Diego Union**

Michael Hall
4280 Orange Ave.
Long Beach, CA 90805

5-1-98

Dear Documented Reference Check:

Again, I want to thank you for the great work that you do.

I was stunned when I heard my ex-employer gave me bad references. Worse yet, I couldn't get anybody to testify. Human Resource managers feared their company would terminate a snitch.

My ex-employer was killing my chances for meaningful work. It wasn't very far back that things looked hopeless for my career. I was vindicated when I received your report showing my employer made damaging, malicious, and false remarks. I sued for defamation. After discovering your report was produced by a Certified Court Reporter, their attorneys lost their enthusiasm to fight.

Now that I've caught them red-handed, in black and white, their lawyers have approached me regarding an out-of-court settlement. Your report was so compelling that my ex-employer's attorneys are ready to settle, even though I'm currently representing myself! A short time ago, I felt helpless, but now I'm in charge.

You have my permission to share this letter with people that need career help. You need to let people know about your service!

Thank You,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael Hall', written in a cursive style.

Michael Hall